UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/709,791	05/28/2004	Sreekumar K. SESHADRI O	RCL-004/O1D-2003-265-01	3790
	7590 03/05/200 F NAREN THAPPET <i>A</i>		EXAMINER	
C/o Landon-IP			KE, PENG	
Alexandria, VA	Road, Suite 450 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2174	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/05/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

lfnt2000@yahoo.com oracle@iphorizons.com intercomm@iphorizons.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/709,791	SESHADRI, SREEKUMAR K.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
SIMON KE	2174		

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears or	the cover sheet with the correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED <u>10 February 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLI	CATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.
	s: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the ch appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of	of the final rejection.
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later that	Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shorteneset forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than the may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee ed statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance	with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of
	hereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but price	or to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because
(a) They raise new issues that would require further considera	
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better for appeal; and/or	m for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a correst	oonding number of finally rejected claims.
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	
4. 🔲 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. Se	e attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 	<u>-</u>
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable non-allowable claim(s). 	e if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided by The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:	
Claim(s) objected to Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4,6-10,12-21,27,29 and 30</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but befor because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and suffic was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	e or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered cient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notion entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcor showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and we have a sufficient reasons.	ne <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	e status of the claims after entry is below or attached.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does See Continuation Sheet.	NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (PTO/S13. ☐ Other:	SB/08) Paper No(s)
	/Peng Ke/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2174

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments focused on following:

A) Whether the combination of Craycroft, Novak, Stucka teaches a first request to open said first electronic file and a second request open said second electronic file, wherein both of said first and request and said second request are received after said storing of the first entry and said second entry said memory.

A) Stucks teaches this limitation because it allows users to save multiple separate UIS application interface entry in the memory, (see Stucka, fig. 2, items 50, 52, 53, 54) which users can load up for later use. (see Stucka, 17, lines 50-65)

B)Whether the combination of Craycroft, Novak, and Struck teach associating different profile with different files?

B) Struck teaches this limitation because user can difference user profile interface with different application file. (see Struck, col. 8, lines 25-col. 9, lines 25).